	Case 2:23-cv-00123-WBS-DB Documer	nt 20 Filed 07/25/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JONATHAN DAROSA,	No. 2:23-cv-0123 WBS DB PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	LOWES HOME CENTERS, LLC DBA	
15	LOWES HOME IMPROVEMENT,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	On January 20, 2023, this action was removed from the Placer County Superior Court.	
19	(ECF No. 1.) At that time plaintiff was proceeding through counsel Jack Duran, Jr. On January	
20	27, 2023, defendant filed a motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 9.) On February 22, 2023, attorney	
21	Gregory T. Fayard, acting as counsel for Kim Duran as Attorney-in-Fact for Jack Duran, Jr., filed	
22	a motion seeking to allow attorney Jack Duran Jr to withdraw as plaintiff's counsel. (ECF No.	
23	13.) Therein, attorney Fayard explained that withdrawal was necessary due to attorney Duran's	
24	"poor health and prolonged treatment and recovery," requiring Duran to "wind down his litigation	
25	practice." (Id. at 3.) Kim Duran filed a declaration in support explaining that plaintiff "failed to	
26	respond to email or phone messages" seeking a signed stipulation with respect to attorney	
27	Duran's withdrawal. (ECF No. 13-1 at 2.)	
28		
		1

## Case 2:23-cv-00123-WBS-DB Document 20 Filed 07/25/23 Page 2 of 2

On March 31, 2023, attorney Fayard filed a declaration stating that plaintiff was then "in custody at the Sacramento County Main Jail," and thus could not appear in person at the motion to withdraw hearing. (ECF No. 16 at 2.) On April 4, 2023, the assigned District Judge granted attorney Duran's motion to withdraw and referred the matter to the undersigned as plaintiff was "now appearing pro se." (ECF No. 19 at 2.) Under the circumstances presented here the undersigned has some concerns with respect

to plaintiff's awareness of defendant's motion to dismiss. Accordingly, in light of the unusual history of this case, plaintiff's pro se status, and out of an abundance of caution, defendant's submitted motion will be denied without prejudice to renewal.<sup>1</sup>

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendant's January 27, 2023 motion to dismiss (ECF No. 9) is denied without prejudice to renewal.

Dated: July 25, 2023

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DB/orders/orders.pro se/darosa0123.mtd.den.ord 

DLB:6

<sup>1</sup> In this regard, defendant may re-notice the motion for hearing before the undersigned, providing plaintiff with the appropriate notice.